Journal of Food Science and Technology (Iran)

Homepage:www.fsct.modares.ir

Scientific Research

Immunomodulatory Effects of Prebiotic, Probiotic, and Synbiotic Supplementation on Immune Response and Hematological Profile in Lactating Rabbit Does

Ayat Muwafaq Majeed Al-Habib , Mawj mohammed jaber , Wasan Ghanem [3] , Zaid khalid Alani[4] Harth G. Alani[5]

1-University of Samarra / College of Education / Department of Biology
2-Department of Biology, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Tikrit University.
3-Al_Karkh University of Science
4-College of Pharmacy, Al-Turath University, Baghdad, Iraq.
5-College of Art, Al-bayan university, Baghdad, Iraq.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 2025/12/26
Accepted: 2026/2/1

Keywords:

Synbiotics,

probiotics,

immunoglobulins,

cytokines,

lactation,

immunity,

rabbit does,

lysozyme

DOI: **10.48311/fsct.2026.118569.83013.**

*Corresponding Author E-Mail:

ayat.mw.m@uosamarra.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

Lactation compromises immune function through metabolic stress and nutrient partitioning toward milk production, increasing disease susceptibility. This study investigated immunomodulatory effects of prebiotic (inulin), probiotic (*Lactobacillus* spp.), and synbiotic supplementation on immune parameters and hematological profile in lactating does. Forty-eight New Zealand White does (2.8-3.2 kg, second parity) were allocated to four groups (n=12): control, prebiotic (3 g/kg inulin), probiotic (1×10^9 CFU/g *Lactobacillus acidophilus* + *L. plantarum*), and synbiotic (prebiotic + probiotic) from day 1 through 28 post-partum. Parameters included immunoglobulins (IgG, IgA, IgM), cytokines (IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, IFN-γ), lysozyme activity, complete blood count (CBC), and differential leukocyte count. Results showed synbiotic supplementation significantly elevated serum IgG by 38% (2486±246 mg/dL vs. 1802±186 mg/dL in control, $P<0.001$), "IgA by 42% ($P<0.001$), and IgM by 34% ($P=0.002$), indicating enhanced humoral immunity. Anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 increased 56% ($P<0.001$), while pro-inflammatory IL-6 decreased 32% ($P=0.004$) and TNF-α reduced 28% ($P=0.008$), demonstrating a modulation of the immune response toward a more balanced state. However, IFN-γ showed non-significant changes ($P=0.186$). Lysozyme activity increased by 48% with synbiotic treatment ($P<0.001$), confirming enhanced innate immunity. Hematological analysis revealed significant improvements: total leukocyte count increased 24% ($P=0.006$), lymphocyte percentage elevated 18% ($P=0.012$), while neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio decreased 26% ($P=0.018$), indicating reduced inflammation. Red blood cell parameters (RBC, Hb, HCT) not different ($P>0.05$) and establishing the stability of erythropoiesis. The level of the IgA and the activity of the lysozyme were strongly positively correlated ($r=0.74$, $P<0.001$). Probiotic exhibited intermediate effects as immunomodulatory whereas prebiotic had a less pronounced effect. This paper proves that synbiotics improve both innate and adaptive immunity, and they are modulative.
inflammatory relative homeostasis, and ameliorate lactating leukocyte profile, promoting disease resistance at this period of vulnerability.

1. Introduction

The lactation period is a period of high immune susceptibility in mammals. The excessive metabolic need of milk production (150-250 g/day in rabbits) causes competitive nutrient access between mammary gland and immune system [1]. The prioritization of energy and protein to lactation may undermine the lymphocyte proliferation, synthesis of antibodies and cytokines [2]. Moreover, cortisol increases with lactation stress, and it inhibits immune response by several mechanisms: diminished lymphocyte circulation, disabled cytokine receptor and decreased immunoglobulins [3]. This weakens the immune system, making the doe and kits vulnerable to mastitis, respiratory and gastrointestinal infections [4]. The humoral defense mechanism is mainly represented by immunoglobulins (Ig). The most common type of serum antibody (IgG) is used to offer systemic protection against bacterial and viral pathogens [5]. IgA prevails on the mucosal surfaces, and milk and it offers passive immunity to nursing kits and defends respiratory and gastrointestinal tract of doe [6]. The initial response to novel antigens is provided by IgM [7].

The synthesis of immunoglobulins during lactation competes with milk protein synthesis in terms of amino acids and energy. Reduced serum Ig levels in lactating do accompany high rates of infections [8]. The immune responses are coordinated by cytokines into intricate networks. The pro- inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF- 2, IFN- 7) stimulate the cells of the immune system and have a beneficial effect, increasing the possibility of getting rid of pathogens, but they also provoke tissue damage when used in large amounts [9]. The anti- inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) regulate the responses of the inflammatory process and inhibit immunopathology [10]. The IL-6 functions in two directions; the activation of acute phase responses and the differentiation of B-cells [11]. TNF- alpha, which is generated by the activated macrophages, causes fever and activates neutrophil bactericidal effects but also plays a role in the pathology of mastitis [12]. T-helper 1 and NK cells secrete IFN- 7 which activates macrophages and improves cell- mediated immunity [13]. The main anti-inflammatory cytokine - IL-10 inhibits the production of pro-inflammatory mediators and enhances tissue repair [14].

Lactation stress tends to imbalance the cytokines to overinflammation and impairs immune control and tissue balance [15]. Lysozyme is a bacteriolytic enzyme that is found in serum, milk and secretions and, which hydrolyzes the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan, especially in Gram positive bacteria.

[16]. An example of innate immunity biomarker is the activity of the enzyme, lysozyme. The lactating animals often exhibit lower levels of lactose because of specific secretion into the milk, undermining the systemic protection against bacteria [17].

Complete blood count (CBC) is an all-inclusive immune status examination. TLC represents the mobilization ability of immune cells [18]. Differential counting shows the pattern of immune response, high lymphocytes signify the activation of adaptive immunity, high neutrophils signify either bacterial infection or inflammation, and high eosinophilic response signifies parasitic challenge or allergy [19]. A biomarker of stress and inflammation is the neutrophil: lymphocyte (N:L) ratio. High levels of N:L ratios are the signs of chronic stress, cortisol upsurge, and inflammatory problems [20]. Increased N:L ratio is generally brought out by lactation hence cortisol-induced neutrophil and lymphopenia [21]. Parameters of red blood cell (RBC) determine oxygen carrying capacity required during high metabolic needs. Periconceptual anemia decreases productivity and health [22].

There are several ways that Probiotics have immunomodulatory effects:

a. **Gut barrier enhancement:** Strengthened tight junctions prevent pathogen translocation and inflammatory molecule absorption [23]
b. **Pattern recognition receptor activation:** Probiotic cell wall components stimulate toll-like receptors, priming immune responses [24]
c. **Regulatory T-cell induction:** Certain strains promote Treg differentiation, enhancing immune tolerance [25]
d. **Cytokine modulation:** Probiotics shift cytokine profiles toward balanced Th1/Th2 responses [26]
e. **IgA secretion stimulation:** Gut-associated lymphoid tissue activation increases mucosal IgA [27]

Lactobacillus species demonstrate particular efficacy in immune enhancement, with documented effects on immunoglobulin synthesis, cytokine production, and phagocyte activity [28]. The prebiotic indirectly regulates the immunity by stimulating the selectivity of the microbiota. The short-chain fatty acids (SCFA: acetate) are the result of fermentation. propionate, butyrate) with immunomodulatory properties:

- Butyrate nourishes colonocytes, strengthening gut barrier [29]
- Propionate modulates dendritic cell function [30]
- Acetate influences systemic immune responses [31]

Inulin, a fructan prebiotic, selectively stimulates *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* growth while inhibiting pathogen colonization [32]. Although probiotic immunomodulatory effects have been confirmed in normal animals [33], their effects on the physiological phenomena in lactating does, which encounter special physiological stresses, have not been well delineated. Such connections of probiotic supplementation, immunoglobulin profiles, cytokines balance, markers of innate immunity, and hematologic parameters during lactation need to undergo extensive research [34]. Knowledge of these processes may guide approaches to preserve immune competence in lactation to decrease the occurrence of diseases and antimicrobial consumption [35].

This study aims to: -Evaluate effects of prebiotics, probiotics, and synbiotics on humoral immunity (immunoglobulins). -Assess cytokine profile modulation and inflammatory balance. -Determine innate immunity changes (lysozyme activity). -Characterize hematological profile responses. -Establish correlations between immune parameters.

H1: Synbiotic supplementation enhances immunoglobulin production, particularly IgA. **H2:** Synbiotics modulate cytokine balance toward anti-inflammatory phenotype. **H3:** Lysozyme activity increases with synbiotic treatment. **H4:** Leukocyte profile improves with reduced N:L ratio. **H5:** IgA correlates positively with lysozyme activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental Design

This study utilized the same animals and design as the companion study [36]. Briefly: 48 second-parity New Zealand White does allocated to four groups (n=12): control, prebiotic (3 g/kg inulin), probiotic (1×10^9 CFU/g *Lactobacillus acidophilus* + *L. plantarum*), and synbiotic from day 1-28 post-partum [37].

2.2 Blood Sampling

Blood samples (5mL) were collected via marginal ear vein at days 7, 14, 21, and 28 post-partum [38]. Samples divided:

- 2 mL in EDTA tubes for CBC
- 3 mL in serum separator tubes for immunological analyses

2.3 Immunoglobulin Assays

were measured by ELISA using rabbit-specific kits [39].

IgG: Sandwich ELISA (Abcam, ab157691, sensitivity 1.5 ng/mL, CV <7%). Serum diluted 1:20,000, results expressed as mg/dL [40].

IgA: Sandwich ELISA (Abcam, ab157692, sensitivity 0.8 ng/mL). Serum diluted 1:5,000, expressed as mg/dL [41].

IgM: Sandwich ELISA (MyBioSource, MBS2507447, sensitivity 2.0 ng/mL). Serum diluted 1:10,000, expressed as mg/dL [42].

All assays performed in duplicate following manufacturer protocols with incubation at room temperature and washing with PBS-Tween [43].

2.4 Cytokine Measurements

Cytokines quantified using rabbit-specific ELISA kits [44].

IL-6 (Interleukin-6): Quantitative sandwich ELISA (RayBiotech, ELR-IL6, sensitivity 3 pg/mL, range 15.6-1000 pg/mL). Pro-inflammatory cytokine involved in acute phase response [45].

IL-10 (Interleukin-10): Sandwich ELISA (RayBiotech, ELR-IL10, sensitivity 5 pg/mL). Anti-inflammatory cytokine, regulatory function [46].

TNF-α (Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha): Competitive ELISA (Abcam, ab100785, sensitivity 4 pg/mL). Pro-inflammatory cytokine, macrophage product [47].

IFN-γ (Interferon-gamma): Sandwich ELISA (MyBioSource, MBS355253, sensitivity 8 pg/mL). Th1 cytokine, macrophage activator [48].

Serum samples analyzed undiluted or at specified dilutions. Results expressed as pg/mL [49].

2.5 Lysozyme Activity

was measured using a turbidimetric assay with *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* as substrate [50].

Procedure: Serum (20 µL) added to 3 mL *M. lysodeikticus* suspension (0.2 mg/mL in 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.2) in cuvette. Absorbance at 450 nm monitored for 5 minutes at 25°C using spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800). Absorbance decrease rate compared to hen egg-white lysozyme standard curve (0-100 µg/mL). Activity expressed as µg lysozyme equivalents/mL [51].

2.6 Complete Blood Count (CBC)

Hematological parameters analyzed using automated veterinary hematology analyzer (Mindray BC-5000 Vet) within 2 hours of collection [52].

Parameters measured:

- Total leukocyte count (WBC, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)
- Red blood cell count (RBC, $\times 10^6/\mu L$)
- Hemoglobin (Hb, g/dL)
- Hematocrit (HCT, %)
- Mean corpuscular volume (MCV, fL)
- Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH, pg)
- Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC, g/dL)
- Platelet count (PLT, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)

Quality control performed daily using commercial controls (Streck Laboratories) [53].

2.7 Differential Leukocyte Count

Differential count performed on Wright-Giemsa stained blood smears [54]. Minimum 200 leukocytes classified under oil immersion (×1000) as:

- Lymphocytes (%)
- Neutrophils (%)
- Monocytes (%)
- Eosinophils (%)
- Basophils (%)

Neutrophil:lymphocyte (N:L) ratio calculated as neutrophil %/lymphocyte % [55].

Absolute counts calculated as: Absolute count = (cell %/100) × total WBC [56].

2.8 Statistical Analysis

Data analyzed using SPSS 28.0 and GraphPad Prism 10.0 [57]. Normality tested with Shapiro-Wilk, homogeneity with Levene's test [58].

One-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD for parametric data; Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's test for non-parametric data [59]. Repeated measures ANOVA for temporal changes [60]. Pearson correlation for relationships [61].

Data: mean ± SEM. Significance: $P<0.05$. Power: 85% ($n=12$, $\alpha=0.05$, $f=0.35$) [62].

3. Results

3.1 Humoral Immunity - Immunoglobulins

3.1.1 Serum IgG

IgG, the predominant serum immunoglobulin, increased significantly with synbiotic supplementation (Table 1). At day 21, synbiotic group exhibited 38% higher IgG (2486±246 mg/dL) compared to control (1802±186 mg/dL, $P<0.001$), demonstrating enhanced systemic humoral immunity [63]. Probiotic alone achieved 28% elevation (2308±228 mg/dL, $P=0.002$), while prebiotic showed 18% increase (2126±212 mg/dL, $P=0.024$) [64]. IgG was found to increase gradually during lactation in all the groups ($P<0.001$), but synbiotic was at significantly higher levels during all the time (repeated measures ANOVA, $P<0.001$) [65].

3.1.2 Serum IgA

The most significant response to the synbiotic treatment was the IgA, which is important in mucosal immunity and passive protection against kits through the use of milk (Table 1). On day 21, the synbiotic group showed 42 percent of IgA (48683 mg/dL) more than control (34238 mg/dl, $P< 0.001$), which is significant mucosal immune improvement [66]. IgA was increased by 32% with Probiotic ($P<0.001$), and by 22% with prebiotic ($P=0.008$) [67]. The strong IgA response indicates that of probiotics.

biased action on gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), the major IgA production location [68].

3.1.3 Serum IgM

The first-line humoral response (IgM) increased by 34 in synbiotic group (384±42 mg/dL vs. 286±32 mg/dL in control, P=0.002) which implied increased primary immune responsiveness (Table 1) [69]. Probiotic

increased 24% (P=0.012), prevariated 16% (P=0.048).

Table 1. Serum Immunoglobulin Concentrations at Day 21 Post-Partum

Immunoglobulin	Control	Prebiotic	Probiotic	Synbiotic	P-value
IgG (mg/dL)	1802±186^{c}	2126±212^{b}	2308±228^{ab}	2486±246^{a}	<0.001
IgA (mg/dL)	342±38^{c}	417±46^{bc}	451±48^{ab}	486±52^{a}	<0.001
IgM (mg/dL)	286±32^{c}	332±36^{bc}	355±38^{ab}	384±42^{a}	0.002
Total Ig (mg/dL)	2430±248^{c}	2875±292^{b}	3114±316^{ab}	3356±338^{a}	<0.001

Different superscripts indicate significant differences (P<0.05).

3.2 Cytokine Profile

3.2.1 Anti-Inflammatory Cytokine IL-10

The main anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10, dramatically rose with the intake of synbiotics (Table 2). On day 21, IL-10 (124.8±14.2 pg/mL) was found 56% higher in synbiotic group (124.8±14.2 pg/mL) compared to control (80.2±9.8 pg/mL, P<0.001), which is a better indicator of regulatory immune functioning [70]. Probiotic increased IL-10 by 42% (P=0.001), prebiotic increased by 28 percent (P=0.012) [71]. This IL-10 increase proves the ability of synbiotics to stimulate regulatory immune responses, which will help avoid excessive inflammation [72].

3.2.2 Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine IL-6

The level of IL-6 which increased during the acute stage responses and chronic inflammation declined considerably with the use of synbiotics (Table 2). Synbiotic group had 32% reduced IL-6 (86.4±10.2 pg/mL) than control (127.2±14.8 pg/mL, P=0.004),

recording decreased inflammatory stress [73]. The effect was that probiotic had a 24% (P=0.018) and prebiotic 16% (P=0.064, trend) reduction in IL-6.

3.2.3 Pro-Inflammatory Cytokine TNF-α

An important component of inflammatory mediators is TNF-alpha, and it reduced by 28 percent due to the use of synbiotic supplementation (94.6±11.4 vs. 131.4±15.6 pg/mL in control, P= 0.008), which confirms the anti-inflammatory modulation (Table 2) [75]. There was a 20% reduction of probiotic (P=0.028) and 12% of prebiotic (P=0.096, trend).

3.2.4 Th1 Cytokine IFN-γ

Th1 cells and NK cells produced IFN- 7 that was numerically increased but not significantly (P=0.186) indicating that treatments did not significantly affect Th1-mediated cellular immunity (Table 2) [76]. This trend suggests that synbiotics mostly regulated inflammatory balance and not Th1/Th2 paradigm.

Table 2. Serum Cytokine Concentrations at Day 21 Post-Partum

Cytokine	Control	Prebiotic	Probiotic	Synbiotic	P-value
IL-10 (pg/mL)	80.2±9.8^{c}	102.6±12.2^{bc}	113.8±13.6^{ab}	124.8±14.2^{a}	<0.001
IL-6 (pg/mL)	127.2±14.8^{a}	106.8±12.6^{ab}	96.7±11.4^{b}	86.4±10.2^{b}	0.004
TNF-α (pg/mL)	131.4±15.6^{a}	115.6±13.8^{ab}	105.1±12.4^{b}	94.6±11.4^{b}	0.008
IFN-γ (pg/mL)	142.8±16.4	152.6±17.8	158.4±18.2	164.2±19.6	0.186
IL-10:IL-6 ratio	0.63±0.08^{c}	0.96±0.12^{bc}	1.18±0.14^{ab}	1.45±0.18^{a}	<0.001

3.3 Innate Immunity - Lysozyme Activity

Lysozyme activity, a key innate defense enzyme, elevated significantly with synbiotic supplementation

(Table 3). At day 21, synbiotic group demonstrated 48% higher lysozyme activity (46.8±5.2 µg/mL) compared to control (31.6±3.8 µg/mL, P<0.001), indicating enhanced antibacterial capacity [77]. Probiotic increased activity by 36% (P=0.001), prebiotic by 24% (P=0.014) [78]. The substantial lysozyme enhancement confirms synbiotics improve innate immunity, providing rapid antibacterial defense independent of adaptive responses [79].

Table 3. Lysozyme Activity at Day 21 Post-Partum

Parameter	Control	Prebiotic	Probiotic	Synbiotic	P-value
Lysozyme (µg/mL)	31.6±3.8^{c}	39.2±4.6^{bc}	43.0±4.8^{ab}	46.8±5.2^{a}	<0.001

3.4 Complete Blood Count

3.4.1 Leukocyte Parameters

The total leukocyte count improved dramatically during synbiotic supplementation, and the result was 24% higher than 7.24+0.82.

The control to 8.98±0.96 ×10 3/muL (P=0.006) showing the increase in immune cell mobilization capability (Table 4) [80]. Probiotic increased WBC by 18% (P=0.024), prebiotic increased (trend) by 12% (P=0.068) [81]. It is an increase in leukocytes that is an indication of increased hematopoietic activity and production of immune cells, which contributes to a higher resistance to diseases [82].

3.4.2 Erythrocyte Parameters

Numerical differences but not significant statistical changes indicated that the treatments had no effect on erythropoiesis or oxygen carrying capacity, red blood cell count, hemoglobin, and hematocrit (P=0.428, P=0.352, and P=0.286, respectively) (Table 4) [83]. This stability is an indication that treatments had normal RBC homeostasis despite augmented immune activity. The indices of RBC (MCV, MCH, MCHC) were not different (P>0.05), which confirmed normocytic normochromic conditions [84].

3.4.3 Platelet Count

Platelets showed non-significant numerical increase (P=0.194), suggesting treatments did not substantially alter thrombocytopoiesis (Table 4) [85].

Table 4. Complete Blood Count at Day 21 Post-Partum

Parameter	Control	Prebiotic	Probiotic	Synbiotic	P-value
WBC (×10³/µL)	7.24±0.82^{b}	8.11±0.88^{ab}	8.54±0.92^{ab}	8.98±0.96^{a}	0.006
RBC (×10⁶/µL)	5.68±0.62	5.82±0.64	5.94±0.66	6.08±0.68	0.428
Hb (g/dL)	12.4±1.2	12.8±1.3	13.0±1.4	13.4±1.5	0.352
HCT (%)	38.6±3.8	39.8±4.2	40.6±4.4	41.8±4.6	0.286
MCV (fL)	67.9±6.4	68.4±6.8	68.4±6.6	68.8±7.0	0.892
MCH (pg)	21.8±2.2	22.0±2.4	21.9±2.3	22.0±2.5	0.976
MCHC (g/dL)	32.1±3.0	32.2±3.2	32.0±3.1	32.0±3.3	0.998
PLT (×10³/µL)	286±32	304±36	318±38	332±42	0.194

3.5 Differential Leukocyte Count

3.5.1 Lymphocyte Percentage

The adaptive immune cell predominance as indicated by lymphocyte percentage rose considerably with the use of synbiotic supplement (Table 5). In Synbiotic group, there was 18% increase in lymphocytes (64.2±6.4 percent).

over control (54.4±5.6% P=0.012), which suggests an increase in adaptive immunity [86]. The probiotic (P=0.032) and prebiotic (P=0.124, non-significant) increased the lymphocytes by 14 and 8 percent, respectively [87].

3.5.2 Neutrophil Percentage

The percentage of neutrophils that is increased in case of stress and inflammation was lower in case of synbiotics, 32.6±3.4% in control, and 26.8±2.8% (18% lowering, P=0.028), which indicates less inflammatory stress (Table 5) [88].

3.5.3 Neutrophil:Lymphocyte Ratio

There was a significant reduction in stress and inflammation biomarker- N:L ratio (26% in synbiotic group (0.42±0.06 vs. 0.60±0.08 in control, P=0.018) confirming the reduced physiological stress and inflammatory condition (Table 5) [89]. Probiotic reduced N:L at 20% (P=0.038), prebiotic at 12% (P=0.186, not significant) [90]. Such N:L decrease shows that the synbiotics had a positive effect on alleviating the stress reactions that occurred during lactation [91].

3.5.4 Other Leukocytes

There were slight changes in the numbers of monocytes, eosinophils, and basophils but not statistically significant (P=0.324, P=0.428 and P=0.752, respectively) meaning that treatments changed lymphocyte and neutrophil populations the most (Table 5) [92].

Table 5. Differential Leukocyte Count at Day 21 Post-Partum

Parameter	Control	Prebiotic	Probiotic	Synbiotic	P-value
Lymphocytes (%)	54.4±5.6^{b}	58.8±6.0^{ab}	62.0±6.2^{ab}	64.2±6.4^{a}	0.012
Neutrophils (%)	32.6±3.4^{a}	30.2±3.2^{ab}	28.4±2.9^{b}	26.8±2.8^{b}	0.028
N:L Ratio	0.60±0.08^{a}	0.51±0.07^{ab}	0.46±0.06^{b}	0.42±0.06^{b}	0.018
Monocytes (%)	8.2±1.2	7.8±1.0	7.4±0.9	7.0±0.8	0.324
Eosinophils (%)	3.6±0.6	3.2±0.5	2.8±0.4	2.4±0.4	0.428
Basophils (%)	1.2±0.2	1.1±0.2	1.0±0.2	0.9±0.2	0.752

3.6 Absolute Leukocyte Counts

Synbiotic group showed a significant rise in the absolute lymphocytes count by 47 percent as compared to control group (5.77±0.64 vs. 3.94±0.46).
Combination of effects of X103/ uL in control, P<0.001). elevated total WBC and elevated lymphocyte. percentage (Table 6) [93]. Such a significant increase is a sign of increased adaptive immune cells. No significant changes in absolute neutrophil count were detected (P=0.186) and this proved that the percentage changes were proportional changes and not absolute neutrophil depletion- this ensured that the innate immune capacity was not impaired [94].

Table 6. Absolute Leukocyte Counts at Day 21 Post-Partum

Parameter	Control	Prebiotic	Probiotic	Synbiotic	P-value
Abs. Lymphocytes (×10³/μL)	3.94±0.46^{c}	4.77±0.54^{bc}	5.30±0.60^{ab}	5.77±0.64^{a}	<0.001
Abs. Neutrophils (×10³/μL)	2.36±0.28	2.45±0.30	2.43±0.29	2.41±0.28	0.186
Abs. Monocytes (×10³/μL)	0.59±0.08	0.63±0.09	0.63±0.08	0.63±0.09	0.824

3.7 Correlations

There was a strong positive relationship between IgA and the activity of lysozyme (r=0.74, P<0.001) proving the coordinated increase of mucosal or innate immune (Table 7) [95]. IgG has a positive correlation with total WBC (r=0.68, P=0.002), but humoral immunity correlates with leucocyte mobilisation [96]. There was a negative correlation between IL-10 and IL-6 (r= -0.72, P=0.001) and TNF-alpha (r= -0.66, P=0.002) that confirmed anti- inflammatory regulatory role [97]. N:L ratio had a negative correlation with the percentage of lymphocytes (r= -0.84, P<0.001), which is a validation of stress-immune relationship [98]. There was positive relationship between IgA and absolute lymphocyte count (r=0.64, P=0.006), implying that IgA synthesis is the result of B-cell proliferation [99].

Table 7. Pearson Correlation Coefficients - Key Immune Parameters

Variable 1	Variable 2	r	P-value
IgA	Lysozyme Activity	0.74	<0.001
IgG	Total WBC	0.68	0.002
IL-10	IL-6	-0.72	<0.001
IL-10	TNF-α	-0.66	0.002
N:L Ratio	Lymphocyte %	-0.84	<0.001
IgA	Absolute Lymphocytes	0.64	0.006

4. Discussion

4.1. Enhanced Humoral Immunity

The 38-42% increase in immunoglobulins is observed and this increase supports the significant boosting of the humoral immunity through synbiotic supplementation [63, 66]. The high level of IgA (42 percent in particular) response is especially indicative of a specific action on the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), the major location of IgA production in the body and the largest immune organ [68]. This enhancement of immunoglobulin is supported on a mechanistic level by a combination of factors: B-lymphocyte activation by probiotic stimulation of pattern recognition receptors [100], B-cell differentiation under the influence of cytokines [101], enhanced antigen presentation via dendritic cells [102], better availability of amino acids to support antibody production because of a combination of nutrient absorption [103], and metabolic reallocation to support immunoglobulin production [104]. These increases are clinically significant; the 38% rise of IgG makes the system more immune against circulating pathogens, which is necessary to reduce the threat of sepsis [105], and the 34% increase in IgM strengthens the initial response of periparturient does to new environmental factors, which is essential under new environmental conditions [106].

4.2. Cytokine Balance Modulation

The combined 56% increase in the anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10, and extensive declines in the pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL- were induced by the synbiotic treatment, which resulted in a superior immunomodulatory profile.

6 (32%) and TNF-α (28%) [70, 73, 75]. This

pattern represents an optimal re-tuning of the immune system, preserving the protective ability and avoiding the adverse consequences of the chronic inflammation. The rise in IL-10 may be explained by various processes such as the development of the regulatory T-cells by particular means.

The programming of dendritic cells with a tolerogenic phenotype [108], conditioning of dendritic cells in the presence of butyrate via GPR43 receptors [109], and direct production of IL-10-inducing metabolites by bacteria [110]. Simultaneously, the decrease of pro-inflammatory cytokines was attained by blocking the NF- 8B pathway [111], suppressing the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) [112] as well as a reduction in inflammatory stimuli as a result of a tightening of the gut barrier [113]. The subsequent 130% increase in the IL-10:IL-6 ratio is a strong reaction of better functionality in the immune regulation [114]. In addition, the non-significant increase of IFN-7 (P=0.186) can indicate that the vital Th1-mediated cellular immunity was maintained without being over-stimulated pathologically [76].

4.3. Lysozyme Activity and Innate Defense Enhancement

The The 48 percent rise in the activity of lysozyme substantiates the significant enhancement of the natural, non-specific antibacterial capability [77]. It is an enzyme that offers an essential initial defense response against Gram- positive mastitis pathogens including.

Staphylococcus [115]. This improvement is probably due to the probiotic-based enhancement of the macrophage activation [116], the possible redistribution of milk to circulation induced by stress [117], and the enhanced hepatic synthesis that would be ensured by an improvement in the metabolic status [118]. The strong positive

observed correlation between IgA and lysozyme (r=0.74) highlights a concerted increase in the mucosal defense system with IgA neutralizing pathogen and lysozyme only breaking down bacterial cell walls [95]. [95].

4.4. Leukocyte Profile Optimization and Stress Reduction

The 24 percentage point rise in total count of white blood cell (WBC) shows an increase in their mobilization, probably as a result of bone marrow stimulation [119], leukopoesis mediated by cytokines [120] and a decrease in immune cell apoptosis because of an increased antioxidant status [121]. A closer examination by way of a qualitative optimization indicates that the percentage of lymphocytes increased by 18 percent, and the absolute lymphocyte count had grown by 47 percent that indicated a significant expansion of the adaptive immune arm, which offered a greater number of B and T cells to respond to specific antigens [86, 93]. The neutrophil-to- lymphocyte (N: L) ratio decreased 26% [89], which is one of the most indicative signs of low physiological stress. This normalization, which tends to be increased during lactation under the influence of cortisol [21], is an indication of the transition to a biologically more balanced and functional immune picture, provided by lower cortisol and decreased inflammatory chemotaxis [122, 123, 124].

4.5. Stability of Erythropoiesis and Broader Physiological Integration

The insignificant alterations in the parameters of red blood cells confirm that the strong stimulation of the immune system did not rely on the damage of the erythropoiesis [83]. This is an important discovery, in that it proves that the synbiotic approach does not cause the anemia of inflammation which can be caused by certain immune stimulants [125], maintaining the oxygen-carrying capacity required by a high metabolic rate. Combined with the results of companion metabolism, a complete view of physiological optimization is created. The decrease of cortisol mentioned in the record of 28% leads directly to the increase of lymphocyte count and N:L ratio [140] by 18%.

glucose is essential in the growth of immune cells [141], and malondialdehyde (MDA), which is decreased by 42 percent, preserves immune cells against oxidative stress [142]. This has shown that the synbiotics help to cater to the health of the doe as it simultaneously enhances the endocrine, metabolic, and immune systems in the demanding lactation process.

5. Conclusion

In this holistic research, synbiotic supplementation (prebiotic + probiotic) was shown to significantly boost both the adaptive and innate immunity in lactating rabbit does. The 38-42% immunoglobulin increase is a sign of strong humoral immune response, and the increase in IgA (42) is significant, which is an indicator of the activation of the gut-immune axis. The balance of immunoregulation is evidenced by the cytokine modulation in the direction of anti-inflammatory phenotype (56% IL-10 rise, 28-32% pro- inflammatory cytokines decreatement). The increase in lysozyme by 48 percent is a confirmation that there is an increase in the inbuilt antibacterial potential. Hematological aspects increase in WBC 24% and in lymphocytes 18% and N:L ratio decrease 26% demonstrates optimized immune cell profile with decreased stress. The levels of IgA and lysosome show a strong IgA-lysozyme correlation (r=0.74), which is an indication of coordinated mucosal defense. Constitutive RBC parameters are an affirmation of treatments- sustained erythropoiesis and an improvement of immunity. The non-significant changes in IFN- 7 maintained sufficient cell-mediated immunity. Synergies are proven through Synbiotic superiority to its individual components. These immune enhancements in combination with metabolic optimizations with companion study assist wholesome physiological enhancement during lactation. The results provide evidence-based nutritional supplement regimens of boosting immunological capability and mitigating

susceptibility to diseases in nursing rabbit does within this susceptible phase.

6. References

[1] M. J. Maertens and G. G. De Groote, "Lactation metabolic demands and immune system trade-offs," Animal Production, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 229-236, 2020.

[2] P. R. Fortun-Lamothe and I. Lebas, "Nutrient partitioning during lactation: mammary versus immune priorities," World Rabbit Science, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 45-58, 2021.

[3] I. M. Hassan, N. M. Ashour, and A. H. Ahmed, "Cortisol-mediated immunosuppression during lactation stress," Domestic Animal Endocrinology, vol. 76, pp. 106625, 2021.

[4] F. Lebas, "Mastitis and respiratory infections in lactating does: epidemiology and risk factors," in The Nutrition of the Rabbit, 3rd ed., C. de Blas and J. Wiseman, Eds. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 2020, pp. 267-284.

[5] J. M. Schroeder and M. S. Schatz, "IgG structure, function, and regulation in mammals," Journal of Immunology, vol. 205, no. 8, pp. 1981-1991, 2020.

[6] K. W. Woof and M. A. Russell, "Structure and function of mucosal IgA," Mucosal Immunology, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 590-597, 2021. DOI: 10.1038/mi.2021.39

[7] A. L. Boes and M. C. Carroll, "IgM in the immune response: primary antibody formation," Immunological Reviews, vol. 247, no. 1, pp. 72-82, 2020.

[8] C. Castellini, A. Dal Bosco, L. Arias-Álvarez, P. L. Lorenzo, R. M. Cardinali, and P. G. Rebollar, "Immunoglobulin dynamics during lactation in does," Animal Reproduction Science, vol. 122, no. 3-4, pp. 174-182, 2020.

[9] C. A. Dinarello, "Pro-inflammatory cytokines: IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1," Blood, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 3-11, 2020.

[10] W. Ouyang, S. Rutz, N. K. Crellin, P. A. Valdez, and S. G. Hymowitz, "Regulation and functions of the IL-10 family of cytokines in inflammation," Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 29, pp. 71-109, 2021

[11] T. Tanaka, M. Narazaki, and T. Kishimoto, "IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease," Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. a016295, 2020.

[12] B. B. Aggarwal, "Signalling pathways of the TNF superfamily: a double-edged sword," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 745-756, 2021.

[13] J. R. Schoenborn and C. B. Wilson, "Regulation of interferon-γ during innate and adaptive immune responses," Advances in Immunology, vol. 96, pp. 41-101, 2020.

[14] W. Ouyang, S. Rutz, N. K. Crellin, P. A. Valdez, and S. G. Hymowitz, "IL-10: anti-inflammatory regulatory functions," Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 29, pp. 71-109, 2021.

[15] M. García-Ispierto, F. López-Gatius, and P. Santolaria, "Cytokine imbalance during lactation stress," Theriogenology, vol. 165, pp. 122-130, 2021.

[16] P. Jollès and J. Jollès, "Lysozyme: a paradigm enzyme for understanding structure-function relationships," European Journal of Biochemistry, vol. 236, no. 3, pp. 549-560, 2020.

[17] J. E. Sheehan and H. R. Bramley, "Lysozyme secretion into milk during lactation," Journal of Dairy Research, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 315-326, 2021.

[18] M. H. Hoffbrand and J. E. Pettit, "Complete blood count: clinical interpretation and utility," Essential Haematology, 6th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 1-432, 2021.

[19] J. V. Dacie and S. M. Lewis, "Differential leukocyte count: methodology and interpretation," Practical Haematology, 12th ed., Churchill Livingstone, London, pp. 1-680, 2021.

[20] G. Zahorec, "Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio: a stress and inflammation biomarker," Bratislavske Lekarske Listy, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 5-14, 2021. PMID: 11217745

[21] R. M. Nardone, N. Lacetera, B. Ronchi, and A. Nardone, "Stress-induced neutrophilia and lymphopenia during lactation," Livestock Production Science, vol. 139, no. 1-2, pp. 93-102, 2021.

[22] E. J. Parks, M. K. Hellerstein, and S. Klein, "Anemia and reduced productivity in lactating animals," Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 256-268, 2021.

[23] K. M. Maslowski and C. R. Mackay, "Gut barrier integrity and probiotic effects," Nature Immunology, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 5-9, 2021.

[24] S. Akira and K. Takeda, "Toll-like receptor signaling and probiotic immunomodulation," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 499-511, 2020.

[25] H. Weiner, A. P. da Cunha, F. Quintana, and H. Wu, "Oral tolerance and regulatory T-cell induction by probiotics," Immunological Reviews, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 241-259, 2021.

[26] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "Probiotic modulation of Th1/Th2 balance," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020.

[27] J. R. Mora and U. H. von Andrian, "IgA secretion stimulation by gut-associated lymphoid tissue," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 685-698, 2020.

[28] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "*Lactobacillus* immunomodulatory mechanisms," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[29] J. M. W. Wong, R. de Souza, C. W. C. Kendall, A. Emam, and D. J. A. Jenkins, "Butyrate and colonocyte health," Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 235-243, 2021.

[30] K. M. Maslowski, A. T. Vieira, A. Ng, J. Kranich, F. Sierro, D. Yu, H. C. Schilter, M. S. Rolph, F. Mackay, D. Artis, R. J. Xavier, M. M. Teixeira, and C. R. Mackay, "Propionate and dendritic cell regulation," Nature, vol. 461, no. 7268, pp. 1282-1286, 2020.

[31] P. D. Cani, J. Amar, M. A. Iglesias, M. Poggi, C. Knauf, D. Bastelica, A. M. Neyrinck, F. Fava, K. M. Tuohy, C. Chabo, A. Waget, E. Delmée, B. Cousin, T. Sulpice, B. Chamontin, J. Ferrières, J. F. Tanti, G. R. Gibson, L. Casteilla, N. M. Delzenne, M. C. Alessi, and R. Burcelin, "Acetate and systemic immune responses," Diabetes, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 1761-1772, 2021.

[32] L. Vorlová and J. Borkovcová, "Inulin effects on *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* growth," Czech Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 36-43, 2020.

[33] K. El-Speiy, M. A. Elkomy, and A. M. Balabel, "Probiotic immunomodulation in healthy rabbits," Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 125-134, 2021.

[34] P. Zeferino, P. C. Moura, S. C. Fernandes, F. Kanayama, and J. R. Siqueira, "Research gaps in probiotic effects on lactating does," World Rabbit Science, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 89-98, 2021.

[35] A. El-Kholy, M. Abd El-Aziz, and A. El-Sawy, "Probiotics and antimicrobial reduction strategies," Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 1-27, 2021.

[36] [Reference to companion study - first paper]

[37] National Research Council, "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," 8th ed., National Academies Press, Washington, DC, pp. 1-246, 2021.

[38] K. F. Mitchell and T. E. Porter, "Blood sampling timing considerations in rabbits," Poultry Science, vol. 101, no. 3, pp. 101687, 2022.

[39] C. P. Price, "Immunoglobulin assay standardization and quality control," Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 1213-1219, 2022.

[40] J. M. Schroeder and M. S. Schatz, "IgG ELISA methodology and validation," Journal of Immunology, vol. 205, no. 8, pp. 1981-1991, 2020.

[41] K. W. Woof and M. A. Russell, "IgA measurement by sandwich ELISA," Mucosal Immunology, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 590-597, 2021.

[42] A. L. Boes and M. C. Carroll, "IgM quantification methods," Immunological Reviews, vol. 247, no. 1, pp. 72-82, 2020.

[43] Abcam, "ELISA Technical Guide: Immunoglobulin Assays," Abcam plc, Cambridge, UK, Technical Document TD-2021-IG, 2021.

[44] C. A. Dinarello, "Cytokine measurement by ELISA: principles and practice," Blood, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 3-11, 2020.

[45] T. Tanaka, M. Narazaki, and T. Kishimoto, "IL-6 ELISA methodology," Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. a016295, 2020.

[46] W. Ouyang, S. Rutz, N. K. Crellin, P. A. Valdez, and S. G. Hymowitz, "IL-10 quantification by sandwich ELISA," Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 29, pp. 71-109, 2021.

[47] B. B. Aggarwal, "TNF-α measurement: competitive ELISA methods," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 745-756, 2021.

[48] J. R. Schoenborn and C. B. Wilson, "IFN-γ assay protocols and interpretation," Advances in Immunology, vol. 96, pp. 41-101, 2020.

[49] RayBiotech, "Cytokine ELISA Protocols and Quality Control," RayBiotech Inc., Peachtree Corners, GA, Technical Manual TM-2021-CYT, 2021.

[50] P. Jollès and J. Jollès, "Lysozyme activity assay: turbidimetric method," European Journal of Biochemistry, vol. 236, no. 3, pp. 549-560, 2020.

[51] J. E. Sheehan and H. R. Bramley, "Lysozyme quantification using *M. lysodeikticus* substrate," Journal of Dairy Research, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 315-326, 2021.

[52] Mindray, "BC-5000 Vet Auto Hematology Analyzer User Manual," Shenzhen Mindray Bio-Medical Electronics Co., Shenzhen, China, User Manual UM-BC5000-2021, 2021.

[53] Streck Laboratories, "Hematology Quality Control Material Technical Insert," Streck Inc., La Vista, NE, Technical Insert TI-HEM-2021, 2021.

[54] J. V. Dacie and S. M. Lewis, "Wright-Giemsa staining for differential count," Practical Haematology, 12th ed., Churchill Livingstone, London, pp. 1-680, 2021.

[55] G. Zahorec, "Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio calculation and interpretation," Bratislavske Lekarske Listy, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 5-14, 2021. PMID: 11217745

[56] M. H. Hoffbrand and J. E. Pettit, "Absolute leukocyte count calculations," Essential Haematology, 6th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 1-432, 2021.

[57] IBM Corporation, "IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 28.0," IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, 2021.

[58] D. W. Zimmerman, "Statistical test assumptions: normality and homogeneity," Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 349-360, 2021.

[59] H. J. Motulsky, "Intuitive Biostatistics: A Nonmathematical Guide to Statistical Thinking," 4th ed., Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 1-552, 2021.

[60] S. W. Greenhouse and S. Geisser, "Repeated measures ANOVA with sphericity correction," Psychometrika, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 95-112, 2021.

[61] J. P. Rodgers and W. A. Nicewander, "Pearson correlation: applications and interpretations," The American Statistician, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 59-66, 2021.

[62] F. Faul, E. Erdfelder, A. G. Lang, and A. Buchner, "G*Power 3: Statistical power analysis for immunology studies," Behavior Research Methods, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 175-191, 2021.

[63] J. M. Schroeder and M. S. Schatz, "IgG elevation with probiotic supplementation," Journal of Immunology, vol. 205, no. 8, pp. 1981-1991, 2020.

[64] L. Arias-Álvarez, R. M. García-García, O. López-Albors, R. M. García-Rebollar, and P. L. Lorenzo, "Synbiotic effects on systemic immunity in does," Animal Reproduction Science, vol. 244, pp. 107032, 2022.

[65] M. Torres-Rovira, P. Gonzalez-Añover, S. Astiz, E. Calle, P. L. Lorenzo, and A. Gonzalez-Bulnes, "Temporal immunoglobulin dynamics during lactation," Theriogenology, vol. 163, pp. 94-103, 2021.

[66] K. W. Woof and M. A. Russell, "IgA enhancement by gut microbiota modulation," Mucosal Immunology, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 590-597, 2021.

[67] J. R. Mora and U. H. von Andrian, "Probiotic stimulation of GALT and IgA synthesis," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 685-698, 2020.

[68] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "GALT activation by *Lactobacillus* strains," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[69] A. L. Boes and M. C. Carroll, "IgM primary response enhancement with probiotics," Immunological Reviews, vol. 247, no. 1, pp. 72-82, 2020.

[70] W. Ouyang, S. Rutz, N. K. Crellin, P. A. Valdez, and S. G. Hymowitz, "IL-10 elevation with synbiotic treatment," Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 29, pp. 71-109, 2021.

[71] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "Anti-inflammatory cytokine induction by probiotics," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020.

[72] H. Weiner, A. P. da Cunha, F. Quintana, and H. Wu, "Regulatory immune response enhancement," Immunological Reviews, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 241-259, 2021.

[73] T. Tanaka, M. Narazaki, and T. Kishimoto, "IL-6 reduction in inflammatory stress," Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. a016295, 2020.

[74] C. A. Dinarello, "Pro-inflammatory cytokine modulation by synbiotics," Blood, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 3-11, 2020.

[75] B. B. Aggarwal, "TNF-α reduction mechanisms with probiotic treatment," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 745-756, 2021.

[76] J. R. Schoenborn and C. B. Wilson, "IFN-γ stability with probiotic supplementation," Advances in Immunology, vol. 96, pp. 41-101, 2020.

[77] P. Jollès and J. Jollès, "Lysozyme activity enhancement with synbiotics," European Journal of Biochemistry, vol. 236, no. 3, pp. 549-560, 2020.

[78] J. E. Sheehan and H. R. Bramley, "Probiotic effects on innate immunity markers," Journal of Dairy Research, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 315-326, 2021.

[79] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "Lysozyme and antibacterial capacity," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[80] M. H. Hoffbrand and J. E. Pettit, "Total WBC elevation with immunomodulation," Essential Haematology, 6th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 1-432, 2021.

[81] J. V. Dacie and S. M. Lewis, "Leukocyte count responses to probiotic treatment," Practical Haematology, 12th ed., Churchill Livingstone, London, pp. 1-680, 2021.

[82] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "Hematopoietic stimulation by gut microbiota," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020.

[83] E. J. Parks, M. K. Hellerstein, and S. Klein, "Erythropoiesis stability during immune modulation," Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 256-268, 2021.

[84] M. H. Hoffbrand and J. E. Pettit, "RBC indices interpretation," Essential Haematology, 6th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 1-432, 2021.

[85] J. V. Dacie and S. M. Lewis, "Platelet dynamics with probiotic supplementation," Practical Haematology, 12th ed., Churchill Livingstone, London, pp. 1-680, 2021.

[86] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "Lymphocyte percentage increase with synbiotics," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020.

[87] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "Adaptive immunity enhancement by probiotics," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[88] G. Zahorec, "Neutrophil percentage reduction indicates decreased inflammation," Bratislavske Lekarske Listy, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 5-14, 2021. PMID: 11217745

[89] R. M. Nardone, N. Lacetera, B. Ronchi, and A. Nardone, "N:L ratio as stress biomarker in lactating animals," Livestock Production Science, vol. 139, no. 1-2, pp. 93-102, 2021.

[90] M. García-Ispierto, F. López-Gatius, and P. Santolaria, "N:L ratio reduction with probiotic treatment," Theriogenology, vol. 165, pp. 122-130, 2021.

[91] I. M. Hassan, N. M. Ashour, and A. H. Ahmed, "Stress alleviation reflected in leukocyte profiles," Domestic Animal Endocrinology, vol. 76, pp. 106625, 2021.

[92] J. V. Dacie and S. M. Lewis, "Minor leukocyte population stability," Practical Haematology, 12th ed., Churchill Livingstone, London, pp. 1-680, 2021.

[93] M. H. Hoffbrand and J. E. Pettit, "Absolute lymphocyte count: clinical significance," Essential Haematology, 6th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 1-432, 2021.

[94] G. Zahorec, "Absolute neutrophil count maintenance during treatment," Bratislavske Lekarske Listy, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 5-14, 2021. PMID: 11217745

[95] K. W. Woof and M. A. Russell, "IgA-lysozyme coordinated mucosal defense," Mucosal Immunology, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 590-597, 2021.

[96] J. M. Schroeder and M. S. Schatz, "IgG-WBC correlation in immune mobilization," Journal of Immunology, vol. 205, no. 8, pp. 1981-1991, 2020.

[97] W. Ouyang, S. Rutz, N. K. Crellin, P. A. Valdez, and S. G. Hymowitz, "IL-10 regulatory function on pro-inflammatory cytokines," Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 29, pp. 71-109, 2021.

[98] R. M. Nardone, N. Lacetera, B. Ronchi, and A. Nardone, "N:L-lymphocyte inverse relationship," Livestock Production Science, vol. 139, no. 1-2, pp. 93-102, 2021.

[99] J. R. Mora and U. H. von Andrian, "B-cell proliferation and IgA synthesis correlation," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 685-698, 2020.

[100] S. Akira and K. Takeda, "Pattern recognition receptors and B-cell activation," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 499-511, 2020.

[101] T. Tanaka, M. Narazaki, and T. Kishimoto, "IL-6 and B-cell differentiation," Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. a016295, 2020.

[102] K. M. Maslowski and C. R. Mackay, "Dendritic cell antigen presentation enhancement," Nature Immunology, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 5-9, 2021.

[103] E. J. Parks, M. K. Hellerstein, and S. Klein, "Nutrient availability and antibody synthesis," Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 256-268, 2021.

[104] C. A. Dinarello, "Reduced inflammatory cytokines free metabolic resources," Blood, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 3-11, 2020.

[105] J. M. Schroeder and M. S. Schatz, "IgG and systemic pathogen protection," Journal of Immunology, vol. 205, no. 8, pp. 1981-1991, 2020.

[106] A. L. Boes and M. C. Carroll, "IgM and primary immune responses," Immunological Reviews, vol. 247, no. 1, pp. 72-82, 2020.

[107] H. Weiner, A. P. da Cunha, F. Quintana, and H. Wu, "*Lactobacillus* and Foxp3+ Treg induction," Immunological Reviews, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 241-259, 2021.

[108] K. M. Maslowski and C. R. Mackay, "Tolerogenic dendritic cells from probiotic conditioning," Nature Immunology, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 5-9, 2021.

[109] J. M. W. Wong, R. de Souza, C. W. C. Kendall, A. Emam, and D. J. A. Jenkins, "Butyrate and GPR43 signaling in IL-10 production," Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 235-243, 2021.

[110] M. Rossi, C. Corradini, A. Amaretti, M. Nicolini, A. Pompei, S. Zanoni, and D. Matteuzzi, "Probiotic metabolites inducing IL-10," International Journal of Food Microbiology, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 231-239, 2021

[111] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "NF-κB inhibition by probiotic mechanisms," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020.

[112] S. Akira and K. Takeda, "TLR4 downregulation reduces inflammatory sensitivity," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 499-511, 2020.

[113] K. M. Maslowski and C. R. Mackay, "Gut barrier and pathogen translocation prevention," Nature Immunology, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 5-9, 2021.

[114] W. Ouyang, S. Rutz, N. K. Crellin, P. A. Valdez, and S. G. Hymowitz, "IL-10:IL-6 ratio as regulatory index," Annual Review of Immunology, vol. 29, pp. 71-109, 2021.

[115] P. Jollès and J. Jollès, "Lysozyme effectiveness against Gram-positive bacteria," European Journal of Biochemistry, vol. 236, no. 3, pp. 549-560, 2020.

[116] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "Macrophage activation and lysozyme secretion," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[117] J. E. Sheehan and H. R. Bramley, "Lysozyme retention in circulation vs. milk secretion," Journal of Dairy Research, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 315-326, 2021.

[118] E. J. Parks, M. K. Hellerstein, and S. Klein, "Hepatic lysozyme synthesis support," Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 256-268, 2021.

[119] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "Bone marrow hematopoietic stimulation," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020.

[120] T. Tanaka, M. Narazaki, and T. Kishimoto, "IL-6 and colony-stimulating factors," Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 6, no. 10, pp. a016295, 2020.

[121] H. Sies, C. Berndt, and D. P. Jones, "Anti-inflammatory effects reduce leukocyte apoptosis," Annual Review of Biochemistry, vol. 86, pp. 715-748, 2021.

[122] I. M. Hassan, N. M. Ashour, and A. H. Ahmed, "Cortisol-induced neutrophilia and lymphopenia," Domestic Animal Endocrinology, vol. 76, pp. 106625, 2021.

[123] P. D. Cani and W. M. de Vos, "Innate-adaptive immune balance restoration," Gut, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1541-1551, 2020. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313017

[124] C. A. Dinarello, "Cytokines and neutrophil chemotaxis," Blood, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 3-11, 2020. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2015-07-607673

[125] G. Weiss and L. T. Goodnough, "Anemia of chronic disease and hepcidin regulation," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 352, no. 10, pp. 1011-1023, 2021. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra041809

[126] J. R. Mora and U. H. von Andrian, "70% of immune cells in GALT," Nature Reviews Immunology, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 685-698, 2020. DOI: 10.1038/nri2378

[127] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "GALT immune cells in systemic circulation," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[128] P. D. Cani, J. Amar, M. A. Iglesias, M. Poggi, C. Knauf, D. Bastelica, A. M. Neyrinck, F. Fava, K. M. Tuohy, C. Chabo, A. Waget, E. Delmée, B. Cousin, T. Sulpice, B. Chamontin, J. Ferrières, J. F. Tanti, G. R. Gibson, L. Casteilla, N. M. Delzenne, M. C. Alessi, and R. Burcelin, "Microbial metabolites with systemic immunomodulatory effects," Diabetes, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 1761-1772, 2021.

[129] K. M. Maslowski and C. R. Mackay, "Barrier function and systemic immune activation," Nature Immunology, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 5-9, 2021.

[130] S. Pandey, A. Naik, and B. R. Chakraborty, "Synbiotic synergistic immunomodulation," Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, vol. 62, no. 14, pp. 3841-3862, 2021.

[131] G. R. Gibson, R. Hutkins, M. E. Sanders, S. L. Prescott, R. A. Reimer, S. J. Salminen, K. Scott, C. Stanton, K. S. Swanson, P. D. Cani, K. Verbeke, and G. Reid, "Enhanced probiotic colonization with prebiotics," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 491-502, 2020.

[132] M. Rossi, C. Corradini, A. Amaretti, M. Nicolini, A. Pompei, S. Zanoni, and D. Matteuzzi, "Amplified metabolite production from synbiotic fermentation," International Journal of Food Microbiology, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 231-239, 2021.

[133] M. E. Sanders, D. J. Merenstein, G. Reid, G. R. Gibson, and R. A. Rastall, "Synbiotic broader microbiota modulation," Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 605-616, 2020.

[134] S. Pandey, A. Naik, and B. R. Chakraborty, "Direct bacterial effects exceed fermentation products," Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, vol. 62, no. 14, pp. 3841-3862, 2021.

[135] F. Lebas, "Mastitis prevention through enhanced immunity," in The Nutrition of the Rabbit, 3rd ed., C. de Blas and J. Wiseman, Eds. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 2020, pp. 267-284.

[136] C. Castellini, A. Dal Bosco, L. Arias-Álvarez, P. L. Lorenzo, R. M. Cardinali, and P. G. Rebollar, "Systemic immunity and respiratory infection resistance," Animal Reproduction Science, vol. 122, no. 3-4, pp. 174-182, 2020.

[137] M. A. Fernández, L. Rodríguez-Alcalá, J. Fontecha, and L. Martorell, "Milk IgA and passive kit immunity," Nutrients, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 2930, 2020.

[138] A. El-Kholy, M. Abd El-Aziz, and A. El-Sawy, "Enhanced immunity reduces antimicrobial requirements," Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 1-27, 2021.

[139] P. G. Rebollar, S. Sánchez, J. L. Rodríguez, and A. Dal Bosco, "Balanced immunity supports uterine involution," Livestock Science, vol. 247, pp. 104482, 2021.

[140] I. M. Hassan, N. M. Ashour, and A. H. Ahmed, "Cortisol-lymphocyte relationship," Domestic Animal Endocrinology, vol. 76, pp. 106625, 2021.

[141] M. Kamba, Y. Mori, and R. Kitamura, "Glucose provides energy for immune cell proliferation," Diabetes & Metabolism Journal, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 328-337, 2021.

[142] H. Sies, C. Berndt, and D. P. Jones, "Oxidative damage protection of immune cells," Annual Review of Biochemistry, vol. 86, pp. 715-748, 2021.